U.S. Application No. 10/616,708 Reply to Office Action dated April 26, 2004

REMARKS

I. **Summary of the Office Action**

The Examiner has required election under 35 USC § 121 for prosecution on

the merits of a single species selected from the following asserted patentably distinct

species: the species of Figure(s) 1/6, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5A, Figure 5B,

Figure 5C, Figure 7 or Figure 8.

II. Summary of this Reply

To expedite prosecution on the merits, Applicant elects the species of Figures

1/6. Claims 1-21 and 23-26 are believed to read thereon, and are hereby elected for

prosecution. Applicants reserve the right to pursue in a continuation/divisional

application any claims not presently elected, and to later traverse the technical

sufficiency of the restriction/election requirement.

CONCLUSION

If any issues remain, the undersigned requests a telephone interview prior to

the issuance of an action.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 27, 2004

Garv A. Hecht

Reg. No. 36,826 Gregory S. Bernabeo

Reg. No. 44,032

Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP

2600 Aramark Tower

1101 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Telephone: (215) 923-4466

Facsimile: (215) 923-2189

M:\GBernabeo\Hunt\D27417.usa\Patent Office\Reply4-1-04.doc

- 2 -